

~~SECRET~~

508A

*Submerged
NSC 5918
11/2/60*

NSC 5814/1

August 18, 1958

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL



PRELIMINARY U. S. POLICY ON OUTER SPACE

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE, Office of the Special
Assistant for National Security Affairs:
Records, 1952-61
*NSC Series; Policy Paper Subseries
Box 25
NSC 5814/1 Outer Space (1)*

DECLASSIFIED

Authority MR 79-237 #3
By bc NLE Date 12/10/81

~~SECRET~~

PORTIONS EXEMPTED
E.O. 12065, Sec. 1-301 (a) (c) (d) (e)
NSC letter 9/29/81
NLE Date 12/10/81

NSC 5814/1

~~SECRET~~

August 18, 1958

NOTE BY THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
to the
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
on

PRELIMINARY U. S. POLICY ON OUTER SPACE

- References: A. NSC Action No. 1846
B. NSC 5814
C. Memos for NSC from Executive Secretary, same subject, dated July 2 and August 4 and 12, 1958
D. NSC Actions Nos. 1940, 1956, 1965

The National Security Council, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Acting Attorney General, the Director, Bureau of the Budget, the Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission, the Director, U. S. Information Agency, the Special Assistant to the President for Science and Technology, and the Director, National Science Foundation, at the 376th Council meeting on August 14, 1958, adopted the revisions of NSC 5814 prepared by the NSC Planning Board pursuant to NSC Action No. 1940-c and on the basis of recommendations by the Special Assistant to the President for Science and Technology and by the Deputy Secretary of Defense, transmitted by the reference memorandum of August 4, 1958; in the light of the views of the Joint Chiefs of Staff thereon transmitted by the reference memorandum of August 12, 1958, and of the views of the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, in the light of a revision of paragraph 50 distributed at the meeting. Such revisions were adopted subject to the deletion of paragraph 50 of NSC 5814 (NSC Action No. 1965-b).

The President has this date approved the statement of policy in NSC 5814, as adopted by the Council and enclosed herewith as NSC 5814/1; directs its implementation by all



NSC 5814/1

- 1 -

~~SECRET~~

appropriate Executive departments and agencies of the U. S. Government; and designates the Operations Coordinating Board as the coordinating agency, with the understanding that the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration will be invited to participate with the Board on this subject.

S. EVERETT GLEASON
Acting Executive Secretary

cc: The Secretary of the Treasury
The Attorney General
The Director, Bureau of the Budget
The Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission
The Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
The Director of Central Intelligence
The Special Assistant to the President
for Science and Technology
The Director, U. S. Information Agency
The Director, National Science Foundation
The Director, National Advisory Committee
for Aeronautics



PRELIMINARY U. S. POLICY ON OUTER SPACE



Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTORY NOTE-----	1
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS-----	2
INTRODUCTION-----	2
Significance of Outer Space to U. S. Security-----	2
Problem of Defining Space-----	3
Relationship Between Space Vehicles and Missiles--	5
USE OF OUTER SPACE-----	5
General-----	5
Science and Technology-----	6
Military-----	7
Reconnaissance Satellites-----	8
Manned Exploration of Outer Space-----	9
OTHER IMPLICATIONS OF OUTER SPACE ACTIVITIES-----	10
International Cooperation and Control-----	10
General-----	10
U. S. Position-----	11
USSR Position-----	12
United Nations Role-----	13
Legal Problems of Air Space and Outer Space-----	13
COMPARISON OF USSR AND U. S. CAPABILITIES IN OUTER SPACE ACTIVITIES-----	15
LEVEL OF EFFORT-----	17
OBJECTIVES-----	18
POLICY GUIDANCE-----	18
Priority and Scope of Outer Space Effort-----	18
Psychological Exploitation-----	20
Reconnaissance Satellites-----	20
International Cooperation in Outer Space Activities-----	20
Limited International Arrangements to Regulate Outer Space Activities-----	21
International Outer Space Law-----	21
Interim Position in International Negotiations-----	22
Security Classification-----	22
ANNEX A: The Soviet Space Program-----	23
ANNEX B: Tentative Schedule of U. S. Vehicle Launchings-	28

STATEMENT
of
PRELIMINARY U. S. POLICY ON OUTER SPACE

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

The USSR has surpassed the United States and the Free World in scientific and technological accomplishments in outer space, which have captured the imagination and admiration of the world. The USSR, if it maintains its present superiority in the exploitation of outer space, will be able to use that superiority as a means of undermining the prestige and leadership of the United States and of threatening U. S. security.

This statement is concerned with U. S. interests in outer space activities, including their international aspects. The policy deals with artificial earth satellites (including reconnaissance satellites), lunar and interplanetary vehicles, and other vehicles and objects whose activities relate to the utilization and exploration of outer space and the psychological impact thereof. Although the technological relation between such space vehicles and ballistic missiles is recognized, U. S. policy on ballistic missiles is not covered in this policy statement and anti-missile missile defense weapons systems are also not so covered.

This statement is designated "preliminary" because man's understanding of the full implications of outer space is only in its preliminary stages. As man develops a fuller understanding of the new dimensions of outer space, it is probable that the long-term results of exploration and exploitation will basically affect international and national, political and social institutions.



GENERAL CONSIDERATIONSINTRODUCTIONSignificance of Outer Space to U. S. Security

1. More than by any other imaginative concept, the mind of man is aroused by the thought of exploring the mysteries of outer space.

2. Through such exploration, man hopes to broaden his horizons, add to his knowledge, and improve his way of living on earth. Already, man is sure that through further exploration he can obtain certain scientific and military values. It is reasonable for man to believe that there must be, beyond these areas, different and great values still to be discovered.

3. The technical ability to explore outer space has deep psychological implications over and above the stimulation provided by the opportunity to explore the unknown. With its hint of the possibility of the discovery of fundamental truths concerning man, the earth, the solar system, and the universe, space exploration has an appeal to deep insights within man which transcend his earthbound concerns. The manner in which outer space is explored and the uses to which it is put thus take on an unusual and peculiar significance.

4. The beginning stages of man's conquest of space have been focused on technology and have been characterized by national competition. The result has been a tendency to equate achievement in outer space with leadership in science, military capability, industrial technology, and with leadership in general.

5. The initial and subsequent successes by the USSR in launching large earth satellites have profoundly affected the belief of peoples, both in the United States and abroad, in the superiority of U. S. leadership in science and military capability. This psychological reaction of sophisticated and unsophisticated peoples everywhere affects U. S. relations with its allies, with the Communist Bloc, and with neutral and uncommitted nations.



6. In this situation of national competition and initial successes by the USSR, further demonstrations by the USSR of continuing leadership in outer space capabilities might, in the absence of comparable U. S. achievements in this field,* dangerously impair the confidence of these peoples in U. S. over-all leadership. To be strong and bold in space technology will enhance the prestige of the United States among the peoples of the world and create added confidence in U. S. scientific, technological, industrial and military strength.

7. The novel nature of space exploitation offers opportunities for international cooperation in its peaceful aspects. It is likely that certain nations may be willing to enter into cooperative arrangements with the United States. The willingness of the Soviets to cooperate remains to be determined. The fact that the results of cooperation in certain fields, even though entered into for peaceful purposes, could have military application, may condition the extent of such cooperation in those fields.

Problem of Defining Space

8. Many names for the various regions of the earth's atmosphere and the divisions of space have developed over the years. The boundaries of these regions and divisions cannot be precisely defined in physical terms, and authorities differ widely on terminology and meaning.

9. The term "air space" has been used to denote the layer of atmosphere surrounding the earth in which military and civilian air vehicles operate. Although national policies and international agreements have dealt extensively with air space and expressly assert the sovereignty of each nation over its air space, the upper limit of air space has not been defined.

* Communist China has announced, furthermore, an intention of proceeding to launch its own earth satellite in the near future. Such a development, which could only result from USSR assistance, would tend to enhance the prestige of the Chinese Communist regime throughout Asia and among the less-developed countries, and could further undermine the reputation of the West for technological leadership unless the accomplishment were matched by a Free World ally.

12. Because the question of rights in "outer space" will undoubtedly arise at the UN General Assembly in September 1958, perhaps in international discussions on post-IGY activities, and perhaps in other international negotiations, it would appear desirable for the United States to develop a common understanding of the term "outer space" as related to particular objects and activities therein.

13. For the purposes of this policy statement, space is divided into two regions: "air space" and "outer space". "Outer space" is considered as contiguous to "air space", with the lower limit of "outer space" being the upper limit of "air space".

Relationship Between Space Vehicles and Missiles

14. The technology associated with the development of space vehicles and ballistic missiles is similar. In fact, the majority of U. S. presently proposed space vehicles will make use of ballistic missile system components, particularly for propulsion and guidance. As space exploration becomes more extensive, it is probable that the technical requirements of the two programs will tend to diverge. For example, extensive space exploration will require propulsion systems much larger and more powerful than those now in development. Ballistic missiles as they are developed further may or may not require comparably large systems.

USE OF OUTER SPACE

General

15. Outer space can be used:

a. By vehicles or other objects that achieve their primary purpose in outer space; such as

(1) Vehicles or objects that remain in an area directly over a nation's own territory, such as sounding rockets;

(2) Vehicles or objects that orbit the earth;

(3) Vehicles that traverse outer space enroute to the moon, other planets or the sun;

b. For the transmission of electromagnetic energy for such purposes as communications, radar measurement and electronic countermeasures;

c. By vehicles which traverse outer space, but which achieve their primary purpose upon their return to air space or earth.

16. There are many uses of outer space for peaceful purposes, such as exploration, pure adventure, increase of scientific knowledge, and development and applications of technology. Any use of outer space, however, whatever the purpose it is intended to serve, may have some degree of military or other non-peaceful application. Therefore, U. S. policies relating to international arrangements on uses of outer space for peaceful purposes will have to take into account possible non-peaceful applications in determining the net advantage to U. S. security.

Science and Technology

17. Outer space technology affords new and unique opportunities for scientific observations and experiments which will add greatly to our knowledge and understanding of the earth, the solar system and the universe. These opportunities exist in many fields, including among others:

a. Geophysics: Three-dimensional mapping of the earth's gravity and magnetic field.

b. Physics: Cosmic ray measurements above the earth's dense atmosphere and experiments in the theory of relativity.

c. Meteorology: World-wide cloud-cover mapping for improved forecasting of weather and measurements of incoming and outgoing heat energy which will allow a better understanding of weather.

d. Biology: Possible living organisms in space and the effects on man of prolonged exposure to radiation and weightlessness.

e. Psychological response of man to a space environment.

f. Astronomy: The universe as seen from beyond the earth's atmosphere and measurement of stellar radiation.

g. Lunar investigations, including the moon's gravity, mass, magnetic field, atmosphere, surface, core and original state.

h. Nature of the Planets.

The foregoing studies would be conducted by means of sounding rockets, earth satellites, lunar vehicles, and interplanetary vehicles.

18. Outer space activity and scientific research would have both military and non-military applications. Examples are satellites as navigational aids; and satellites as relay stations to receive and relay television or radio signals, and improve world-wide communications.

19. It is not possible to foresee all applications of outer space activity which may be developed, but our ability to achieve and maintain leadership in such applications will largely depend on the breadth of the scientific research which is undertaken and supported.

Military

20. There are important present and foreseeable military uses for outer space vehicles and also speculative military uses. The military uses of outer space vehicles (some of which have peaceful applications) may be divided into the following three general categories:

a. Now Planned or in Immediate Prospect

(1) Military Reconnaissance. (See "Reconnaissance Satellites" section, paragraphs 21-24).

b. Feasible in the Near Future

(1) Satellites for Weather Observation.

(2) Military Communications Satellites.

(3) Satellites for Electronic Counter-measures.

(4) Satellites as Aids for Navigation, tracked from the earth's surface visually or by radio.

c. Future Possibilities.

(1) Manned Maintenance and Resupply Outer Space Vehicles.

(2) Manned Defensive Outer Space Vehicles, which might capture, destroy or neutralize an enemy outer space vehicle.

(3) Bombardment Satellites (Manned or Unmanned). It is conceivable that, in the future, satellites carrying weapons ready for firing on signal might be used for attacking targets on the earth.


(4) Manned Lunar Stations, such as military communications relay sites or reconnaissance stations. Conceivably, launching of missiles to the earth from lunar sites would be possible.

Reconnaissance Satellites

21. Reconnaissance satellites are of critical importance to U. S. national security. Those now planned are designed:

(a) Reconnaissance satellites would also have a high potential use as a means of implementing the "open skies" proposal or policing a system of international armaments control.

22. As envisaged in U. S. plans, the instrumentation of reconnaissance satellites would consist primarily of [.....]



24. Some political implications of the use of reconnaissance satellites may be adverse. Therefore, studies must be urgently undertaken in order to determine the most favorable political framework in which such satellites would operate.

Manned Exploration of Outer Space

25. In addition to satisfying man's urge to explore new regions; manned exploration of outer space is of importance to our national security because:

a. Although present studies in outer space can be carried on satisfactorily by using only unmanned vehicles, the time will undoubtedly come when man's judgment and resourcefulness will be required fully to exploit the potentialities of outer space.

b. To the layman, manned exploration will represent the true conquest of outer space. No unmanned experiment can substitute for manned exploration in its psychological effect on the peoples of the world.

c. Discovery and exploration may be required to establish a foundation for the rejection of USSR claims to exclusive sovereignty of other planets which may be visited by nationals of the USSR.

26. The first step in manned outer space travel could be undertaken using rockets and components now under study and development. Travel by man to the moon and beyond will probably require the development of new basic vehicles and equipment.

OTHER IMPLICATIONS OF OUTER SPACE ACTIVITIES

International Cooperation and Control

General

27. International cooperation in certain outer space activities appears highly desirable from a scientific, political and psychological standpoint and may appear desirable in selected instances with U. S. allies from the military standpoint. International cooperation agreements in which the United States participates could have the effect of (a) enhancing the position of the United States as a leader in advocating the uses of outer space for peaceful purposes and international cooperation in science, (b) conserving U. S. resources, (c) speeding up outer space achievements by the pooling of talents, (d) "opening up" the Soviet Bloc, and (e) introducing a degree of order and authority in the necessary international regulations governing certain outer space activities.

28. Various types of international cooperation may be possible through existing international scientific organizations, the United Nations, multilateral and bilateral arrangements with Free World nations and NATO, and U. S.-Soviet bilateral arrangements. International cooperation by the United States in outer space activities might, as consistent with U. S. security interests, include (a) the collection and exchange of information on outer space; (b) the exchange of scientific instrumentation; (c) contacts among scientists; (d) participation of foreign scientists in U. S. space projects; (e) planning and coordination of certain programs or specific projects to be carried out on a fully international basis (some of which might be: a large instrumented scientific satellite, communication satellites, and meteorological satellites); (f) establishment of regulations governing certain outer space activities; (g) provision and launching of scientific satellites in support of international planning of a program of satellite observations.

29. Under present conditions, the extent of international cooperation, particularly in fields having important military applications such as propulsion and guidance mechanisms, will have to take into account security considerations (see paragraphs 7 and 16).

U. S. Position

30. In January 1957 the United States initiated international discussion of the control of outer space by proposing in the UN General Assembly that the testing of outer space vehicles should be carried out and inspected under international auspices. This proposal was based on a policy decision* to seek to assure that, as a part of an armaments control system, the sending of objects into outer space should be exclusively for peaceful and scientific purposes and that, under effective control, the production of such objects designed for military purposes should be prohibited. It was thought, at the then state of the art, that a control of testing would have precluded development until more comprehensive controls could be agreed upon. The U. S. proposal was altered with the passage of time and, as presented on August 29, 1957 as the Four-Power Proposal in London, calls for technical studies of the "design of an inspection system which would make it possible to assure that the sending of objects through outer space will be exclusively for peaceful and scientific purposes." In his letter of January 13, 1958, to Bulganin, the President proposed, as part of a five-point program relating to control of armaments and armed forces,



* With reference to the relation of the use of outer space to an armaments control system, the Annex to NSC Action No. 1553 (November 21, 1956), provides:

"5. It is the purpose of the United States, as part of an armaments control system, to seek to assure that the sending of objects into outer space shall be exclusively for peaceful and scientific purposes and that under effective control the production of objects designed for travel in or projection through outer space for military purposes shall be prohibited.

"Therefore, the United States to propose that, contingent upon the establishment of effective inspection to verify the fulfillment of the commitment, all states agree to provide for international inspection of and participation in tests of outer space objects."

that "we agree that outer space be used only for peaceful purposes" and inquired "can we not stop the production of such weapons which would use or more accurately misuse, outer space...?" In his later letter to Bulganin, dated February 15, 1958, the President proposed "wholly eliminating the newest types of weapons which use outer space for human destruction."

31. a. Earlier this year question was raised as to whether it is feasible to devise procedures to assure that the sending of objects through outer space will be exclusively for peaceful and scientific purposes. Initial studies* concerning the monitoring of long-range rocket tests have concluded that under the guise of a peaceful space program much of the test information required to develop a ballistic missile system could be obtained, because of the present common technology of space vehicles and ballistic missiles. Further consideration of U. S. policy concerning the scope of control and inspection required to assure that outer space could be used only for peaceful purposes, as well as the relationship of any such control arrangement to other aspects of an arms agreement, is deferred pending the recommendation of the Special NSC Committee established to make preparations for a possible Summit Meeting (NSC Action No. 1893). It is understood that the Special NSC Committee will also consider possible interim and more limited arrangements, and take into account the technical feasibility of assuring that outer space can be used only for peaceful purposes.

b. The most recent statement of basic policy relating to the regulation and reduction of armed forces and armaments appears in paragraph 40 of NSC 5810/1 (May 5, 1958).

USSR Position

32. The USSR has proposed an agenda item for the next UN General Assembly meeting calling for the banning of the use of "cosmic space" for military purposes, the elimination of foreign bases on the territories of other countries, and international cooperation in the study of "cosmic space". The Soviets envisage an international agency with the following functions: development and supervision of an international program for launching intercontinental and space rockets to study "cosmic space"; continuation on a permanent basis of the IGY "cosmic space" research; world-wide collection, exchange and dissemination of "cosmic" research information; and coordination of and assistance to national research programs.

* Report of the NSC Ad Hoc Working Group on the Monitoring of Long-Range Rocket Test Agreement, March 26, 1958, circulated to Council Members by Memorandum from the Executive Secretary dated March 28, 1958.

United Nations Role

33. The Soviet position makes certain that outer space questions, probably including peaceful uses, control, and organization, will be discussed in the UN General Assembly in September, 1958. The rapid pace of outer space achievements in past months has aroused great interest among all UN members concerning the role of the United Nations in the various aspects of outer space. The maintenance of our posture as the leading exponent of the use of outer space for peaceful purposes requires that the United States take in the General Assembly an imaginative and positive position.

Legal Problems of Air Space and Outer Space

34. Numerous legal problems will be posed by the development of activities in space. Many of these cannot be settled until we gain more experience and basic information, because the only foundation for a sound rule of law is a body of ascertained fact. It is altogether likely that some issues in the field of space law which will be practical questions in the future are not even identified today. This is not to say that there is an entire lack of international law applicable to activities in space at the present time. For example, Article 51 of the Charter of the United Nations recognizes the inherent right of individual or collective self-defense against armed attack. Clearly this right is available against any space activities employed in such an attack.

35. International Geophysical Year. From the arrangements and announcements made in connection with the International Geophysical Year, there may be a general implied consent that scientific satellites be launched and orbited during the IGY. Such implied consent does not necessarily mean, however, that assent has been given to the launching and orbiting of other types of satellites or missiles, or that the assent with respect to scientific satellites extends beyond the IGY. It remains to be determined what rules will apply to subsequent satellites; what limitations will govern the types and purposes of satellites in the future. The United States, as well as other countries, has not yet taken positions on these questions and, here again, the answer will depend not only upon what others are likely to do but also upon what activities the United States wishes to be free to engage in.

36. A problem of jurisdiction in space on which the United States reserves its position at present is whether celestial bodies in space beyond the earth are susceptible to appropriation by national control or sovereignty.

37. The problem of legal definitions is unsolved. As indicated above, there is as yet insufficient basis for legally deciding that air space extends so far and no farther; that outer space begins at a given point above the earth. Because, for some time to come, at least, activities in outer space will be closely connected with activities on the earth and in the air space, many legal problems with respect to space activities may well be resolved without the necessity of determining or agreeing upon a line of demarcation between air space and outer space. If, by analogy to the Antarctic proposal of the United States, international agreement can be reached upon permissible activities in space and the rules and regulations to be followed with respect thereto, problems of sovereignty may be avoided or at least deferred.

38. Problems of liability for injury or damage caused by activities in space or by re-entry will also arise. No nation has as yet taken a position as to whether due care against negligence should be the standard or whether liability should be absolute. Here again future experience, and the development of agreement among the nations, will be necessary. Absolute liability as respects objects landing on the United States will have to be weighed against absolute liability for U. S. objects landing on other nations.

39. Problems of national and international regulation over activities in space will also arise. There is already the need to assign telecommunication wavelengths to communications with satellites and space objects. Other types of regulations having serious security implications will have to be worked out for the identification of space objects and for some type of traffic control to prevent congestion and interference.

40. Generally speaking, rules will have to be evolved gradually and pragmatically from experience. While the nations engaging in space activities will play an important role in this field, it will have to be recognized from the nature of the subject that all nations have a legitimate interest in it. The field is not suitable for abstract a priori codification.

COMPARISON OF USSR AND U. S.
CAPABILITIES IN OUTER SPACE ACTIVITIES

41. Conclusive evidence shows that the Soviets are conducting a well-planned outer space program at high priority. The table below attempts to estimate the U. S. and USSR timetables for accomplishment of specific outer space flight activities.

a. Soviet space flight capabilities estimated in the table reflect the earliest possible time periods in which each specific event could be successfully accomplished.

(1) The space flight program is in competition with many other programs, particularly the missile program. The USSR probably cannot successfully accomplish all of the estimated space flight activities within the time periods specified. The USSR will not permit its space flight program to interfere with achieving an early operational capability for ICBM's (which enjoy the highest priority).

(2) The USSR is believed to have the intention to pursue both an active space flight program designed to put man into outer space for military and/or scientific purposes, and further scientific research utilizing earth satellites, lunar rockets, and probes of Mars and Venus; but it cannot be determined, at this time, whether the basic scientific program or the "man in space" program enjoys the higher priority and will, therefore, be pursued first.

b. U. S. space flight capabilities indicated in the table reflect the earliest possible time periods in which each specific event could be successfully accomplished. Not all of the indicated activities could be successfully accomplished within the time period specified. It must also be recognized that the accomplishment of some of the activities listed would impinge upon space activities already programmed, or upon other military programs.

42. If the USSR high-priority outer space program continues, the USSR will maintain its lead at least for the next few years, as shown in the following table.

EARLIEST POSSIBLE TIME PERIODS OF VARIOUS
SOVIET AND U. S. ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN OUTER SPACE

(NOTE: Generally, Soviet vehicles will be of substantially greater orbital payloads than U. S. vehicles. It should be noted, however, that the comparative capabilities of the United States and the USSR should not be measured by orbital payloads alone. The United States is estimated to be considerably ahead of the USSR in miniaturization of missile and satellite components, and therefore the effectiveness of U. S. satellites on a "per pound in orbit" basis is estimated to be greater than that of the USSR.)

	<u>SOVIET^{a/}</u>	<u>U. S.^{b/}</u>
1. Scientific Earth Satellites (IGY Commitment)	1957-58	1958
2. Reconnaissance Satellites ^{c/}	1958-59	1959-61
3. Recoverable Aeromedical Satellites	1958-59	1959
4. Exploratory Lunar Probes or Lunar Satellites	1958-59	1958-59
5. "Soft" Lunar Landing	1959-60	Early 1960
6. Communications Satellites	---	1959-60
7. Manned Recoverable Satellites		
a. Capsule-type Satellites	1959-60 ^{d/}	{ 1960-63
b. Glide-type Vehicles	1960-61	
8. Mars Probe	Aug. 1958 ^{e/}	Oct. 1960
9. Venus Probe	June 1959 ^{e/}	Jan. 1961
10. 25,000-pound Satellite -- manned	1961-62	After 1965
11. Manned Circumlunar Flight	1961-62	1962-64
12. Manned Lunar Landing	After 1965	1968

a/ Estimate by the Guided Missile Intelligence Committee (GMIC) of the IAC as of June 3, 1958.

b/ Source: Department of Defense, June 4, 1958.

c/ Defense Comment: (See Annex B for test reconnaissance satellites.)

The United States plans to launch a reconnaissance satellite of approximately 3,000 pounds in late 1959. During the same time period the USSR is estimated to be capable of launching a 4-5,000 pound reconnaissance satellite.


d/ The Joint Staff member of GMIC reserves his position on the date 1959.

e/ The Soviets most likely would attempt probes when Venus and Mars are in their most favorable conjunction with the earth for such an undertaking.

LEVEL OF EFFORT

43. a. Because of the highly speculative nature of future activities in outer space, decisions as to the priority and extent of U. S. outer space programs will obviously be a judgment based on limited knowledge. Some activities in outer space would be expedited by the allocation of additional financial resources; others would not, being dependent on research progress. The potentially great importance to U. S. national interests of outer space activities, however, requires taking risks in allocating resources to research and development activities, the success or ultimate utility of which cannot be definitely foreseen.

b. The level of material and scientific effort to be expended on outer space activities must nevertheless be related to other national security programs to ensure that a proper balance is maintained between anticipated scientific, military and psychological gains from outer space programs and the possible loss resulting from reductions in resources allocated to other programs.



OBJECTIVES*

44. Development and exploitation of U. S. outer space capabilities as needed to achieve U. S. scientific, military, and political purposes, and to establish the U. S. as a recognized leader in this field.

45. As consistent with U. S. security, achievement of international cooperation in the uses of and activities related to outer space; for peaceful purposes, and with selected allies for military purposes.

46. As consistent with U. S. security, the achievement of suitable international agreements relating to the uses of outer space for peaceful purposes that will assure orderly development and regulation of national and international outer space programs.

47. Utilization of the potentials of outer space to assist in "opening up" the Soviet Bloc through improved intelligence and programs of scientific cooperation.

POLICY GUIDANCE*Priority and Scope of Outer Space Effort**

48. With a priority and scope sufficient to enable the U. S. at the earliest practicable time to achieve its scientific, military and political objectives as stated in paragraph 44, develop and expand selected U. S. activities related to outer space in:

* See paragraphs 30 and 31 for statement of the status of policy on the regulation and reduction of armed forces and armaments in relation to outer space.

** Nothing in this paper shall be construed as affecting priorities established under NSC Action No. 1846 or future priorities approved by the President.



a. Basic and applied research, and exploration required to determine the military and non-military potentials of outer space.

b. Research and technology required to exploit such military and non-military potentials.

c. Application of such outer space research, technology, and exploration to develop outer space capabilities required to achieve such objectives.

49. In addition to undertaking necessary immediate and short-range activities related to outer space, develop plans for outer space activities for the longer range (through at least a ten-year period).

50. Study on a continuing basis the implications which U. S. and foreign exploitation of outer space may hold for international and national political and social institutions. Critically examine such exploitation for possible consequences on activities and on life on earth (e.g., outer space activities which affect weather, health, or other factors relating to activities and life on earth).



6

Psychological Exploitation

51. In the near future, while the USSR has a superior capability in space technology, judiciously select (without prejudicing activities under paragraph 4c) projects for implementation which, while having scientific or military value, are designed to achieve a favorable world-wide psychological impact.

52. Identify, to the greatest extent possible, the interests and aspirations of other Free World nations in outer space with U. S.-sponsored activities and accomplishments.

53. Develop information and other programs that will exploit fully U. S. outer space activities on a continuing basis; especially, during the period while the USSR has superior over-all outer space capabilities, those designed to counter the psychological impact of Soviet outer space activities and to present U. S. outer space progress in the most favorable comparative light.

Reconnaissance Satellites*

54. In anticipation of the availability of reconnaissance satellites, seek urgently a political framework which will place the uses of U. S. reconnaissance satellites in a political and psychological context most favorable to the United States.

55. At the earliest technologically practicable date, use reconnaissance satellites to enhance to the maximum extent the U. S. intelligence effort.

International Cooperation in Outer Space Activities

56. Consistent with the objectives in paragraphs 43 and 44, and as a means of maintaining the U. S. position as the leading advocate of the use of outer space for peaceful purposes, be prepared to propose that the United States join with other nations, including the USSR, in cooperative efforts relating to outer space. Specifically:

- a. Encourage a continuation and expansion of the type of cooperation which exists in the IGY programs, through non-governmental international scientific

* The priority and scope of operational capabilities of reconnaissance satellites are established in NSC Action No. 1846, January 22, 1958.

organizations such as the International Council of Scientific Unions; including cooperation in the design of experiments and instrumentation, exchange of information on instrumentation, scientific data and telemetry, exchange of instruments, and in the use of scientific satellites and other scientific vehicles in support of international planning for exploration of outer space.

b. Recognize UN interests in outer space cooperation, but do not encourage precipitous UN action to establish permanent organizational arrangements. To this end consider: (1) establishment of an ad hoc UN planning committee to formulate recommendations to facilitate international cooperation and appropriate UN organizational arrangements; and (2) in the interim, participation in those joint projects for cooperation and exchange of information for which UN auspices are desirable.

c. Invite scientists of foreign countries, including the Soviet Bloc in general on a reciprocal basis, to participate in selected U. S. programs for the scientific exploration of space.

d. Propose specific bilateral arrangements with other nations (including the USSR) for cooperative ventures related to outer space, provided that the combined existing competence might achieve meaningful scientific and technical advance.

e. Propose to groups of nations and international organizations independent outer space projects which would be appropriate for multilateral participation.

Limited International Arrangements to Regulate Outer Space Activities

57. Propose international agreements concerning appropriate means for maintaining a full and current public record of satellite orbits and emission frequencies.



International Outer Space Law

58. [Redacted area with dotted pattern]

59. Reserve the U. S. position on legal issues of outer space; but undertake on an urgent basis a study of the legal issues that will arise from national and international outer space activities in the near future.

Interim Position in International Negotiations

60. In negotiations with other nations or organizations dealing with outer space (pending the results of the study referred to in paragraph 59), seek to achieve common agreement to relate such negotiations to the traversing or operating of man-made objects in outer space, rather than to defined regions of outer space.

Security Classification

61. In considering whether U. S. outer space information and material requires classification under Executive Order No. 10501,* take special account of the lead achieved by the USSR in outer space activities and the advantages, including, more rapid progress, which could accrue to the United States through liberalizing the general availability and use of such information and material.

* Executive Order No. 10501 ("Safeguarding Official Information in the Interests of the Defense of the United States"), Section 3 provides in part that: "Unnecessary classification and over-classification of information or material shall be scrupulously avoided."

ANNEX B

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE OF U. S. VEHICLE LAUNCHINGS*
(as of June 30, 1958)

1. Earth Satellites (Five Vanguard vehicles)** - Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C.

Firing rate about one per month ending late in 1958 (or early 1959).
Payload 22 lbs.

2. Lunar Probes (Three Thor-Vanguard vehicles)** - Air Force Ballistic Missile Division, Inglewood, California

First launching - September 1958 - Payload 25 lbs. plus retro-rockets.

Second launching - October 1958 - Payload 26 lbs. plus retro-rockets.

Third launching - November 1958 - Payload 26 lbs. plus retro-rockets.

3. Satellites and Lunar Probes (Three Juno II, One Juno I)** - U. S. Army Ordnance Missile Command, Huntsville, Alabama

(Inflatable Sphere) - Fall 1958 - Payload 12-foot reflecting sphere.

(Lunar Probe) - November 1958 - Payload 15 lbs.

(Lunar Probe) - January 1959 - Payload 15 lbs.

(Cosmic ray satellite) - February 1959 - Payload 60 lbs.

4. a. ARGUS Project (Two Juno I) - U. S. Army Ordnance Missile Command, Huntsville, Alabama

Both units to be launched as earth satellites in August 1958 -
Payload 26 lbs.

- b. ARGUS Project (Six NOTS fly-up satellites) - Naval Ordnance Test Station, Inyokern, California

Satellites are air-launched, have approximately 3-pound payload of instruments designed to detect Argus effect. Satellites are to be launched into polar orbits. Three flights in July 1958 are planned for purpose of testing system. If these first three are successful, three more will be launched in August as part of Argus Project.

* Launchings shown are those needed to implement presently-planned programs.

These programs are under review and are not to be regarded as final.

** These vehicles are being launched in connection with the IGY.

5. Advanced Reconnaissance Satellite Development Program, W/S 117L - Air Force Ballistic Missile Division, Inglewood, California.

- (1) Thor-boosted program - Up to nineteen vehicles - Test firings for second stage and instrumentation capability.



First launching about November 1958 - Firing rate nearly one per month until completion. Payload 400 lbs. to 135-mile orbit.

- (2) Atlas-boosted program - Five vehicles - Visual Reconnaissance Components Test.

First launching about July 1959, with firing rate of about one every other month to completion of program. Payload 2600 lbs. to 300-mile orbit. Launchings from Cape Canaveral. Satellites would not pass over the USSR.

- *** (3) Atlas-boosted program - One vehicle - Visual Reconnaissance Components Test.


Launching about March 1960 (the earliest date an Atlas 117L launching stand would be available at Cooke). Payload 2600 lbs. to 300-mile orbit.

- *** (4) Atlas-boosted program - Four vehicles - Visual Reconnaissance Test.

First launching about May 1960, with firing rate of one every other month to completion November 1960. Payload 2600 lbs. to 300-mile orbit. Launchings from Cooke.

- *** (5) Atlas-boosted program - Three vehicles - Ferret Reconnaissance Test.

First launching about August 1960, with firing rate of one every other month to completion December 1960. Payload 2600 lbs. to 300-mile orbit. Launchings from Cooke.



6. X-15 Manned Research Aircraft (Three aircraft) - USAF, NACA, USN. First flight scheduled in first half of CY 1959. Maximum altitude capability, 100-125 miles. Maximum speed about 4500 miles per hour.****

**** This aircraft was not planned as an orbital vehicle and approved programs do not include modification of system to allow orbiting. Various problems related to re-entry of orbiting or space vehicles can be effectively studied with this aircraft.